

MINUTES OF THE MAY 12, 2008 CITY COUNCIL WORK SESSION
AT THE JEFFERSON CIVIC CENTER

PRESENT: Mayor Pro Tem Griffith, Council Members Plott, Kinney, Kidd, Varnedoe, City Mgr. J. Ward, City Attorney R. Alexander, City Clerk E. McDonald

ABSENT: Mayor Jim Joiner, City Attorney R. Hopkins

1. CALL TO ORDER – MAYOR PRO TEM GRIFFITH

Mayor Pro Tem Griffith noted that there were no items requiring City Council action on the April agenda for the Quad Cities Planning Commission.

2. CITY MANAGER – JOHN A. WARD III

- A. Finance – Amie Vaughan
- April Budget Report

Ms. Vaughan presented the April Budget to Council stating that another month has come and gone and we are all busy working on various projects, as well as, day-to-day operations. I will be implementing the new purchasing policy in the months to come. It will take a few months to put operational procedures in place to insure a smooth transition. We have also started with our FY2009 budget, which without a doubt, will keep us all busy.

General Fund

There is currently 66.67% of the Fiscal Year remaining. General Fund has collected 49.33% of the budgeted revenue for Fiscal Year 2008. The majority of revenue is from the collection of property taxes. There is currently 71.41% of the expenditure budget remaining. All departments are currently operating within their budget.

Special Notes: We did not budget for a Tax Anticipation Note during 2008. General Fund Contingency balance is \$521,697, however, this number will decrease due to the 22% increase in employee health insurance. (Note: We will be coming back with the amount necessary to cover the insurance premium once we receive our first invoice from MSI Benefits.) It will also be decreased to pay The Standards Foundation \$6,940 for the Safety Check Program allocation for 2008 which was approved by council after FY08 budget was approved

Water and Sewer

Water and Sewer Fund has billed 24.22% of their budgeted operating revenue. Looking at your reports it appears that only 16.67% of revenue has been collected. This number also includes the capital revenue, of which represents 53.49% of the revenue budgeted in Water and Sewer. Also keep in mind that our revenue increases during the warm months. The Water and Sewer Fund has 74.44% of the operating budget remaining. Of this budget

59.08% is related to Capital Projects. Looking at the overall expenses there is 88.75% of the budget remaining.

Quad Cities Planning and Development

Through the month of April 26.01% of the revenue has been collected. There is still 83.56% of the operating budget remaining.

Solid Waste

Currently 35.22% of revenue has been billed. There is currently 74.93% of the operating budget remaining.

Cash

Our cash balances are currently as follows:

Cash in Bank – General Fund	\$ 2,339,378.62
Cash in Bank – Water & Sewer Fund	\$ 781,296.34
Cash in Bank – Quad Cities	\$ 156,139.81
Cash in Bank – Solid Waste	\$ 42,760.21
Cash in Bank – Impact Fees	\$ 148,941.30
Cash in Bank – SPLOST (Rec)	\$ 101,486.76
Cash in Bank – SPLOST (Pub Safety)	\$ 1,000.00
Cash in Bank – SPLOST (Roads)	\$ 396,900.94
Cash in Bank – SPLOST (W&S)	\$ 226,442.12
Cash in Bank – SPLOST (Library)	\$ 52,329.98
Cash in Bank – Road Bond	\$ 6,450,078.47

City Manager Ward noted that in the Council packet is line item specific detail per department for you.

Council Member Plott inquired as to whether we closed out 2007 yet. Ms. Vaughan said that we are very close.

- B. Public Works – Jeff Killip
 - Water & Sewer Projects

Mr. Killip, Public Works Director, provided City Engineer Jerry Hood's report (in Mr. Hood's absence):

Parks Creek Reservoir –The City's permit application package to the Corps of Engineers continues to be in the "final stages", and we are told that the case document has been submitted to the agency. As previously reported, the Corps is now requiring additional mitigation sites for stream areas. Mr. Scott Cole and representatives of Eco South have visited Jefferson twice to review alternative sites. City staff and Emi are working the Law firm to expedite the process. Meetings with the City, Engineers, consultants and property owners will be scheduled during the month in an effort to bring final resolution to these issues. Scott Cole will be attending the June work session and bring the Council up to date.

On the EPD front, the Agency approved the UGA study and associated documents, and a final summary was submitted. We are hopeful that this will consummate the EPD permitting portion.

As most of you are aware, the Legislature authorized a new grant and low interest loan program for new and expanded reservoirs. The program will be administered through GEFA, a long term partner of the City.

The priority point system is largely slanted toward project readiness. The project is direly needed by the City, and it is even more important to obtain the Corps 404 permit before September, the application deadline.

Highway 129 Sewer Extension – The project has been complete and ready for closeout activities. A final submittal and close out documentation has been received from the contractor and is under review. EMI is aware of the concerns of the council regarding this project. Upon review of the final documents, EMI will provide an opinion within the limitations of the Engineer's authority as outlined in the contract documents. Beyond that, further action will be up to the Mayor, City Council and City Attorney.

Central City WWTF Operations– A copy of the March operating report is attached. The plant operations are well within permit condition and produce a high level of water quality. During the month, the plant operated at an average of 0.513 MGD or about 51 % of capacity. Investigation into upgrading the plant to reuse quality and diverting flows to the upper Curry creek basin are pending at the Georgia EPD. The agency is developing guidelines for "indirect and direct potable reuse", which should be published by year end.

I-85 WWTF Expansion – The City's efforts to provide additional capacity at I-85 focuses on two stages of expansion. These include a minor expansion of the Land Application System (LAS) and a future mechanical plant with a discharge. The City has completed the public information aspects of these projects until the actual draft permits are issued. The DDR for the minor expansion has been completed and approved by the Georgia EPD. The City's 2008 permit renewal for the LAS includes the permit for the expansion, whenever this capacity is needed. All documents for the future mechanical plant have been completed and the City has met public participation requirements. The EPD has issued comments on the final design development report (DDR), and these have been addressed for re-submittal.

The City is in an excellent posture- *permit wise* to facilitate waste treatment needs in the I-85 corridor for many years.

MLK, Jr Drive Extension- As previously reported, this project was reviewed by staff and the project consultant recently. Communications with DOT staff have also been made, regarding the project schedule. Since the funds have flowed from ARC to the Federal Highway Admin & GADOT, the estimated project completion schedule is rather disturbing. One estimate shows the project schedule at May, 2011, due to the environmental and

technical review procedures. A re-evaluation of the programming of this project is underway, which may allow the project to move ahead at a much faster pace.

Waste water pumping and transfer Facilities for the I-85 Corridor –The contractor for this project has been issued a notice to proceed and is officially underway. The contract completion date is August 6, 2008. A proposed development near the new pumping station site may have some impact on the City's project.

Plan for High rating water plant facilities- The plan to “high rate” the filters at the water plant and increase the capacity by 40% is complete and has been submitted to EPD. The project will include, re-building the filter media, plate settlers to enhance treatment, automatic sludge removal, chemical storage and other enhancements. EPD has visited the plant and given concept approval. Design for the project is well underway and monthly design progress meeting are being held. A GEFA loan application will be processed for funding the project in late summer. The project may also be eligible for the new GEFA Grant/ Loan Program.

Capital Improvements Element (CIE) and rate study analysis

The City has approved the future infrastructure study to address future capacity needs and proper funding mechanisms. This study is essentially complete and is under final review by EMI principals and City staff. The document will provide a new five, ten and twenty year plan for the water and sewer system. Water supply and waste water demand projections will also be provided to the year 2050. Obviously population and water use projections are very subjective at this time due to the economic climate and many other factors. This plan is at the 95% completion stage, with population, customer base, and an implementation schedule being the final components to complete.

Curry Creek Reservoir Enhancement program

Plans, specifications and bid documents have been completed for this project and submitted to EPD for review. Permitting is in process. The one outstanding permit should be issued this month. The project will include dredging of the upper portion of the reservoir, with final disposition of the dredge material being hauled to the I- 85 spray field properties. The City's DCA- ITD Grant was approved and the GEFA loan application was approved on January 29th. Upon approval of the plans, an appropriate implementation strategy and schedule will be developed in the best interest of the City.

Hog Mountain Road Utilities Relocation-

The widening of this road will necessitate the relocation of certain water and sewer facilities owned by the City that are in conflict with future construction. The contract for construction has been awarded and a pre construction conference held. A notice to proceed has been issued and work is underway. The contract completion date was May 4, 2008. All pipelines are in the ground, with final testing and clean up remains.

Mr. Killip noted that the Lakeshore Drive waterline replacement has been added. This will also be a road project.

Groundwater Resource Investigation

A&S Environmental has completed a service area wide groundwater resource investigation, using the latest methodology and technology. The preliminary report and recommendations have been submitted. The City staff is investigating the most logical city properties for potential test well sites.

Mr. Killip added that we have four sites located on City property. Mayor Pro Tem Griffith questioned if they were going to be at the Recreation Department. Mr. Killip said that one is in the wet area beside the soccer field.

- Water & Sewer Projects

Mr. Killip reported that there have been some changes in Level 4 Drought Plan, stating that EPD has decided we no longer have to hold to the 10% reduction. He read correspondence from the State (from Director Couch) regarding this.

Mayor Pro Tem Griffith asked if we are still buying water from the County. Mr. Killip stated that we are buying just a little. Mayor Pro Tem Griffith inquired about the rate. City Manager Ward stated that it's 360. He continued to say that we are monitoring this and we are looking at a wholesale price. Their goal is to bring everyone in line and have a uniform price structure for everyone.

- Road Projects

Mr. Killip provided an update on the road projects:

--Horace Jackson Road – The paving is complete. We will be replacing the sidewalk.

--Gordon Street – We are going to make the intersection a 3-way stop at Gordon Street and Pine Street. We will be adding sidewalks. City Manager Ward noted that the main reason for making it a 3-way stop is to meet the typical DOT specifications. Mr. Killip continued to say that if we had a raised speed breaker, this road would not qualify as a LARP road.

--Park Avenue – Council Member Varnedoe inquired about when work will begin on Park Avenue. Mr. Killip said work will begin in one month.

- Street Light Policy & Procedure

City Manager Ward provided Council with history on the street light issue stating that in the August 11, 2003 minutes Council unanimously adopted the Street Light Policy for residential, commercial and industrial. We are focusing on residential tonight. This policy provided direction on how the City of Jefferson was to handle the addition of new street lights that were being installed in new subdivisions. It allowed for the City to create special tax districts and to charge the owners in new subdivision an additional fee in order to offset the costs of the street lights being installed in the subdivisions. While some new streetlights were added to the City's bill, these special tax districts were not created. This policy went into effect in August of 2003.

In a total City inventory of all street lights provided by JEMC or Georgia Power, we found after 2003 until late 2005, some subdivisions were allowed to petition and street lights were accepted, and some were not. During that time frame there were no special tax districts created. There has not been a special levy to offset the street light policy and procedure.

Currently, we have a mixture of street lights that are being paid for by the City that were erected before the policy; the money to pay for that is collected during your regular tax allotment. Street lights that were installed after the policy, the City is footing the bill for some and for others the home owners association is footing the bill.

In five different instances, the homeowners associations (in five different subdivisions) have failed to pay for the streetlights and they have been turned off.

In order to create these special tax allocation districts, we would have to create an administrative process through finance and planning departments and coordinate through Don Elrod's office. In order to set this up we would have to pay a special programming fee to the computer company that establishes the tax bill for the tax commissioners. As you can see the layers are getting thicker and thicker. Mr. Killip and I have come up with a policy that will streamline this. I recommend that you vote to rescind the 2003 policy and procedure. Have the City accept all mandated streetlights (these are not streetlights that people randomly call in and have installed) according to the LUMC which requires one (1) light every 400 feet in subdivisions, and have the Planning & Development Department enforce the minimum street light requirements. Accept all street lights will eliminate the multiple scenarios of who is paying for and approving the number of street lights being installed. Rather than create a new administrative collection procedure and process that is not currently funded or designated for personnel, utilize the tax digest to evenly distribute the costs of City required street lights equally among all tax payers. This streamlines the policy and procedure and ensure that funding for the service is equally shared eliminating the possibility of double taxation.

Mayor Pro Tem Griffith asked that the space between street lights increase from 400' to 500'. City Manager Ward said this is set on industry standards, but he will confirm this before we move forward. We need to pay more specific attention to street lights during code review. Mayor Pro Tem Griffith noted that the street lights are on the standard 20' pole. Council Member Plott inquired if there is a specific mileage as part of the Jefferson tax rate. City Manager Ward said that we have not broken it down into that much detail. It's part of the overall maintenance and operation. We have allocated for what we believe it will cost for the street lights we actually have now and we have anticipated a growth factor. Council Member Varnedoe asked what is that amount. City Manager Ward said he believes it's 200 and last year we budgeted 120.

C. Better Hometown – Beth Laughinghouse

- Jefferson Streetscapes Update

City Manager Ward informed Council that under the new GA DOT administration they are reverting back from consultant based approval of T-projects and are redirecting that back to the region office (in Gainesville) to administer. Regional offices are now developing a policy and procedure for how they will review and approve transportation plans. We are waiting on the DOT to reallocate their direction to the division level from the State level as part of the reorganization of DOT. The District office wants to meet with us in Jefferson to get this moving. We are waiting on approval of our engineer’s designs so we can move forward.

D. Crawford W. Long Museum

- Update

Lesa Campbell, Consultant, provided an update on the Museum. The Museum’s website is up and running with a link to the City’s website. We are waiting for a bid deadline for interior plaster work.

Crawford W. Long Museum
Construction Updates

Project Component	Status	Cost
Roof: Medical Building Includes metal parapet cap, larger scuppers and downspouts	Complete	\$ 23,362.00
Roof: Medical Building 20 year manufacturer’s warranty	Complete	1,150.00
Brick work: Rear of Medical Building	Complete	21,300.00
Windows: Medical Building	Start May 23	18,950.00
Interior: Medical Building Includes window installation, plaster and lath repair, paint	Start June 23	25,645.00
Lift and stabilize Pendergrass Store structural components	Start August	17,085.00
Current Contracted Services		\$107,492.00

The repairs we are making will last a long time. The exterior work will come later. We will replace electrical throughout, as well as interior

lighting. Council Member Plott noted that Ms. Campbell is doing a great job!

City Manager Ward stated that the goal is for Kathleen Henderson with USDA to come before Council in June with a presentation and awarding of this grant.

Ms. Campbell also reported that Vickie Starnes has been promoted to Administrative Manager of the Museum. She will be going to travel association meetings that the Museum has not had a representative at in years. City Manager Ward stated that Ms. Starnes has been monitoring the line item expenses of the renovation and sending them to the finance department. The Museum will be closing on June 1st for renovations.

E. Administration – John Ward

- Monthly Department Reports
City Manager Ward pointed out that the monthly department reports give Council a review of the activities of all departments, as well as their accomplishments.
- Schedule of Activities
Council was provided with a list of scheduled activities and City Manager Ward also noted that the May Voting Session is next Monday, May 19th. Chief Joseph Wirthman announced the Police Officers Memorial will be at 3:00 p.m. on Wednesday at the First Baptist Church in Jefferson.
- Ordinance Enforcement Issues
City Manager Ward noted that one of our headlines in the local community has been the noise issue involving a downtown business. We have pulled a call history for the last three years for Mike's Down Under. This call history reveals there have been 27 total calls. Several were for issues other than noise. Of the remaining calls that did specify noise, a total of two situations were found that officers responding were able to witness (with monitoring equipment) a violation of the noise ordinance that involved spikes of noise. On both occasions, while the officer was there, the music level was corrected and the owner on one situation ejected the band. I have instructed the City's police chief and officers and the bar owner that no further warnings will be issued in that area involving noise.

Officers have been directed to monitor and issue citations as violations occur in which the violations exist in their presence. In ordinance violations, in order to prosecute, the officer has to testify that the violation occurred in their presence. In the alcohol ordinance there is a section that says any noise leaving the establishment. A legal review by the Jefferson City Attorney and two other attorneys have recommended that this portion be eliminated from the City's alcohol ordinance because it is not enforceable and will not stand up in court. There are case laws to satisfy that requirement. A further review of local municipalities noise ordinance

found that the City of Jefferson's noise ordinance is as (or more) restrictive to comparable jurisdictions. In some situations the other ordinances allowed for a higher decibel level than does Jefferson's. In other situations they allowed for violations to be measured 300' from the source. We have contacted the District Attorney for any criminal prosecution based on the situation that arose with words being painted on a wall. There were none on an ordinance level. There was a sign violation; but, it has been policy and procedure for ordinance violations to seek corrective action upon being notified of the violation, that violation was corrected the next day. At this time, the recommendation (working with the prosecutor) is to step up enforcement with no warnings and move forward with the existing ordinance we have in place. If we have calls from citizens, and we can develop good, sound cases, the officers can articulate that there were sustained noise violations that go beyond those spikes that you randomly have (a single car in the area will spike over 65 decibels). With the lack of citations being issued it does not warrant a major adjustment to the code at this time. We are going to have to step up enforcement in that area. This is redirecting law enforcement from other areas of the City. We are going to try to reach some kind of resolution in that area.

City Manager Ward continued to say that some jurisdictions determine that there is a violation if there is a clearly audible sound and that is vague. Our ordinance is more specific. Mayor Pro Tem Griffith asked that the Special Events (outside entertainment) ordinance be revisited at the June Work Session. City Manager Ward noted that he has been working with Chief Wirthman and Major Backelant regarding junk vehicles and that ordinance.

3. PUBLIC COMMENT- THE FOLLOWING INDIVIDUALS HAVE REQUESTED TO BE ON PUBLIC COMMENT LIST: LEN STURKIE, BOBBY PATTERSON.

Mayor Pro Tem Griffith announced that Mr. Len Sturkie will be presenting for himself and Bobby Patterson and will be given ten minutes.

Mr. Sturkie began by saying, Gentlemen, I'm giving you information based on the facts and direct information stated by City Officials during various meetings and discussions.

The City has officially stated in the press that our complaints are bogus which Webster Dictionary defines as a sham, fake, trick or hoax. This obviously reflects the City's attitude toward our neighborhood in their defense of Mike's operations.

The events that have occurred since I spoke to you on April 14, suggest that neither the City nor Mike's operation has taken the downtown residents very seriously. Under the circumstances, I want to provide a little more specific details on what has happened in the past and more recently.

While I have been filing reports of noise complaints for the last six months, the Patterson family has been complaining of noise issues for an extended period of time. Yet nothing has changed to stop the problem.

We have tried to be a good neighbor but the situation has been continually getting worse over the last year. As the noise level increased in our first level family room, we tried to be tolerant of the situation by adding a cable connection and television in our second floor bedroom to be further away from the noise. We also added a stereo system in an upstairs room facing Mike's property in an effort to play more restful music for the nighttime. These changes failed to provide any measure of relief.

I monitored the problem with standard quality instruments and also brought in commercial OSHA/EPA Type 2db instruments to compare and validate the decibel levels, before I filed the first complaints. I provided the City with the results of my findings. However when nothing changed, I subsequently provided information relating to noise ordinance standards of 20 US cities including Atlanta and requested a copy of the City's ordinances to confirm what standards were actually being enforced.

Accordingly, I was told that the numerical decibel standards had not actually been in use. How can you classify 30 months of complaints as bogus when you are not actually measuring and documenting it?

Based on what I was also told, I believe the City records will confirm the sound meters were purchased around the time of my meetings. Shortly after introduction of the guidelines, we were also informed that we would have to tolerate the commercial 60 decibels noise after 10pm rather than 45db, which applied to all residential areas. Several 100-year-old residences have been forced to endure the commercial noise level because they were in a multi-use district location. This zoning district was strictly the City's decision, without any input or consideration on how the resident's quality of life could be impacted. Why was the neighborhood not considered when a late night bar was approved?

Even with these commercial guidelines, we continued to experience excessive noise with even greater amounts of bass rumble. During one especially loud evening we made several calls questioning why action was not taken when noise levels in our residence were in the sixties and officers had confirmed excessive noise levels outside the bar in their reports. We were told that only the Chief could personally address the situation with Mike, which would be the following week. Why couldn't the officers take action?

When I spoke to the Chief the next week regarding enforcement procedures, he agreed that in the future Mike would get one warning and then a ticket if noise continued. He did caution me on possible retaliation if a ticket was issued and informed me that the ticket could also be thrown out. What would give the idea that it would be thrown out?

While probably intended as friendly caution, it was certainly not a very encouraging thought. When I called 911 to file a noise complaint, I noticed the music would often be lowered within a minute or two, but well before officers arrived. When I inquired about this situation, I was advised that Mike probably had a scanner. This could be true, but it is interesting to note that it was a personal call from 911 that alerted him of the graffiti on the building, which was certainly not an emergency, but a personal favor. Can you see how this raises questions about other possible favors?

After several complaints on another loud evening we were told Mike was issued a ticket with a court date of May 13 (which is tomorrow). We later learned that the ticket was going to be dismissed. Further inquiries a few days later indicated the ticket was reinstated. Now the City tells us it was not a real ticket but one requested by Mike to get us off of his back, and it probably would be dismissed.

If there were violations, the ticket should have been issued, if not, then writing an unjustified ticket would appear to be considered a false or bogus report. Please explain to us how law enforcement protocol works because we don't follow that procedure. First, the City states that our complaints are bogus, and then they issue a courtesy or bogus ticket. Can you explain that to the citizens of Jefferson?

The City has officially told the press that all but two complaints during the last three years were bogus, however when sound rattles windows from a block away and readings over 60 db, there is nothing fake or bogus about that.

We think it is only appropriate that the City produce the documents and confirm the exact decibel level actually documented on every report at the time it was filed. If the reports do not contain the recorded data by an authorized person, you certainly have no basis to classify them as bogus. Will you provide us with those documents?

As we were wrapping up the meeting here on April 14, Mike offered to consider lowering the sound if we would get Mr. Patterson off of his back. I advised Mike that this was a community issue and lowering the sound would probably do a lot to stop complaints. However, Mr. Patterson makes his own decisions based on his personal family situation as a next-door neighbor, which we have no control over.

The press was officially told that an April 18, 10pm noise complaint was not really a problem. The press was advised that the sound was actually "soft" music from the juke box, when in fact we have a copy of the noise complaint letter City officials received which specifically relates to the April 18 loud jukebox issue. The letter of complaint is from a business owner resident who lives a block away. The City did not attempt to set the story straight for the press when they actually knew Mr. Patterson was not the only one to complain. Why not tell the rest of the story?

On April 26th the 911 system was interested enough and took the time to give Mike a personal call about the obscene graffiti painted on the side of his building, obviously

suspecting someone else did it. Most 911 systems are not that pro-active. How many of you have received a personal call from the 911 regarding something of your concern?

In the past, when I have had vandalism or related property damage, I was advised nothing could be done unless there was proof of who did it, which has to be understandable. However in this case it is well documented who did it, but the City was not concerned to take any action to bring obscenity charges against Mike, or to at least force its immediate removal. Why is it acceptable for Mike to retaliate against the Patterson family, which includes an elderly parent in poor health and a sister with cancer? The average person could not ever get away with that.

Had it been written by anyone else, I have no doubts that immediate charges would have been filed as a crime against obscenity laws. Why not here in Jefferson?

I am further amazed that the City also defended the public written display of the word "Retard" as Mike's free speech right of the first amendment. While the City of Jefferson may officially find it acceptable from a criminal standpoint, as a civil matter, I certainly don't believe the courts will find it acceptable as a form of free speech. What would it look like if other City business owners decided to display similar words on their buildings?

As you are aware, if this would have been certain ethnic or racial slurs, the whole world would have appropriately descended upon Jefferson. Instead many innocent, loving and wonderfully people have also been characterized and disrespected by display of such a similar repugnant label. It's probably also appropriate to note that graffiti, like literature, often reveals far more about the writer than the reader.

During a related confrontation over the graffiti issue, the next-door property owner was confronted by the display of a holstered weapon. When the property owner filed complaint about the weapon, the related Police Report failed to mention the weapon confrontation at all, which was the purpose and most important part of the report. Can you explain why the most important part was left out?

In closing, gentlemen, there are just too many things that don't quiet seem to add up. We have presented over a dozen questions that deserve answers.

This is not my first ball game and it's certainly not my first rodeo. We don't have an attorney here tonight because we expect you will make the correct decisions for the residents and community. We will not be intimidated by an attorney, or anyone with a gun. We are here to protect and preserve our rights, our residences, and our historic neighborhood. We should certainly not have to protect it from our own governmental system.

It is not our responsibility to take action against the law and ordinance violations that take place in the City. It is the honor of responsibility entrusted to you by the citizens of

Jefferson to fulfill the duties and obligations of your positions, without respect of perceived social position or status.

However, if whatever actions you take are not strictly enforced, as a community, our intent will not be to take legal action against Mike's business as a nuisance, but to take appropriate action against the City of Jefferson for failing to enforce the ordinances.

Again, we ask you to resolve this issue without further impact on our quality of life.

4. FY09 EXPENSE BUDGET PRESENTATION: FIRE, LIBRARY, CIVIC CENTER & STREET DEPARTMENT

Fire, Library, Civic Center & Street Department draft budgets were presented to Council.

5. OTHER BUSINESS

None.

6. ADJOURN - MAYOR PRO TEM BOSIE GRIFFITH

City Clerk Elizabeth McDonald

Mayor Jim Joiner